

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/613,500		07/03/2003	Yaoqi J. Liu	56056US002	4426	
32692	7590	08/24/2004		EXAMINER		
		E PROPERTIES (NGUYEN, THONG Q			
PO BOX 33		.100 0405		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ST. PAUL,	MIN 53	3133-3427		2872	TALERNOMBER	
				2012		
				DATE MAILED: 08/24/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

·	·		Ar
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	TIL
	10/613,500	LIU ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
7. 444.00 5475	Thong Q Nguyen	2872	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the	ie correspondence address -	·•
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply by within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS, cause the application to become ABAND	ne timely filed I days will be considered timely. If om the mailing date of this communication (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ation.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 04 Ju	<u>ıne 2004</u> .		
, —	action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E			s is
Disposition of Claims		,	
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-29</u> is/are pending in the application.			
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>16-29</u> is/are withdraw			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.		
Application Papers			•
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	<u> </u>		
- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	epted or b) ☐ objected to by t		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	***		\ 4 (-1 \
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	•	•	
	diffilier. Note the attached Of	100 7.01011 01 1011111 1 0 102	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreigna) All b) Some * c) None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 11	∂(a)-(d) or (f).	
 Certified copies of the priority documents 	s have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority documents			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	•	eived in this National Stage	
application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list		pived	
occ the attached detailed Office action for a list	or the continue copies not rec		
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Sumr	nary (PTO-413)	
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Ma	ail Date	
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 7/3/2003.	6) Other:	nal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Art Unit: 2872

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

 Applicant's election without traverse of Invention I in the reply filed on 6/4/2004 is acknowledged.

As a result of applicant's election, claims 1-15 are examined in this Office action, and claims 16-29 have been withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim.

Information Disclosure Statement

2. The information disclosure statement filed on 7/3/2003 fails to comply with 37 CFR 1.98(a)(2), which requires a legible copy of each U.S. and foreign patent; each publication or that portion which caused it to be listed; and all other information or that portion which caused it to be listed. It has been placed in the application file, but the information referred to therein has not been considered.

In particular, the reference titled thereof "Optimization of Interference filters... heat mirrors" labeled as "C1" in the form PTO-1449 has been lined-through because applicant has failed to provide a copy of the reference.

Drawings

3. The drawings contain twenty-eight sheets of figures 1-25h were received on 7/3/2003. These drawings are approved by the Examiner.

Application/Control Number: 10/613,500 Page 3

Art Unit: 2872

Specification

4. The lengthy specification has not been checked to the extent necessary to determine the presence of all possible minor errors. Applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any errors of which applicant may become aware in the specification.

Claim Objections

5. The claims are objected to because they include reference characters which are not enclosed within parentheses. In particular, each of claims 1 and 13 is objected because each claim contains reference character thereof "7A1B1A7B1A1B" which is not enclosed within parentheses.

Reference characters corresponding to elements recited in the detailed description of the drawings and used in conjunction with the recitation of the same element or group of elements in the claims should be enclosed within parentheses so as to avoid confusion with other numbers or characters which may appear in the claims. See MPEP § 608.01(m).

6. Claim 9 is objected to because of the following informalities. Appropriate correction is required.

In claim 9: the claim recites a layer having a feature so-called "PVB" (see line 1).

Applicant should provide a complete term or a definition for the "PVB".

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

7. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/613,500 Page 4

Art Unit: 2872

8. Claims 1-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

- a) Claim 1 is rejected under 35 USC 112, second paragraph because it is unclear about the structure of the device claimed. The claim as recited in the first fifteen lines of the claim discloses an optical body having a first effective optical packet of contiguous optical layers bounded by optically thick layers wherein the optical layers form a plurality of unit cells each has six optical layers. However, on lines 16-21, the claim recites a feature which compares the variability in transmission of the optical body recited on first fifteen lines of the claim to a second optical body having the feature thereof "identical to the first-mentioned optical body except for having a second effective optical packet substituted for the first effective optical packet... first cyclic permutation" (lines 17-21). As a result, it is unclear the structure of the device claimed in the present claim 1.
- b) Claim 4 is rejected under 35 USC 112, second paragraph for the following reason. In claim 4: the claim recites that the number of unit cells in the optical packet is a noninteger number (see lines 1-2 of the claim). Such a recitation is unclear because it is unclear which number is considered as a "noninteger" number. Where applicant acts as his or her own lexicographer to specifically define a term of a claim contrary to its ordinary meaning, the written description must clearly redefine the claim term and set forth the uncommon definition so as to put one reasonably skilled in the art on notice that the applicant intended to so

Art Unit: 2872

redefine that claim term. *Process Control Corp. v. HydReclaim Corp.*, 190 F.3d 1350, 1357, 52 USPQ2d 1029, 1033 (Fed. Cir. 1999).

c) The remaining claims are dependent upon the rejected base claim and thus inherit the deficiency thereof.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

9. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 10. Claims 1, 3, 7-8, 10-11 and 14-15, as best as understood, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Arends et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,360,659).

Arends et al disclose a film for reflecting infrared light and for transmitting the visible light. In the embodiment as provided in columns 3-4 and 6-8 and shown in figures 2-3, the film comprises a multiple unit cells which is understood as an integer number of unit cells. Each unit cell has six layers of different materials A,B wherein the layers are alternatively arranged in a predetermined cyclic permutation. The refractive index of material A is larger than that of the material B as can be seen in columns 4 and 7. The thicknesses of the layers are different and in a gradient structure as can be seen in columns 6-7. The use of two thick layers contained glazing materials cover the unit cells or in between the layers of the unit cells is suggested as can be seen in column 7. The infrared light reflected by the film is at least 50% in the range of 770-2000 nm and the visible

Application/Control Number: 10/613,500

Art Unit: 2872

light transmitted by the film is at least 50% in the range of 380-770 nm with its transmission is varied. See columns 3-4.

Regarding to the feature recited the comparison between the visible transmission of the first optical body and the that of the second optical body having different optical package as recited on last six lines of claim 1, such a feature is not considered due to the feature has not constituted the structure of the first optical body as recited in lines 1-15 of the claim.

Conclusion

- The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to 11. applicant's disclosure.
- Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the 12. examiner should be directed to Thong Q Nguyen whose telephone number is (571) 272-2316. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Drew A Dunn can be reached on (571) 272-2312. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/613,500 Page 7

Art Unit: 2872

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Thong Q Nguyen Primary Examiner Art Unit 2872
